

• Schwartz-Zippel Lemma.

If  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a non-zero polynomial of degree  $d$ ,

then  $\forall S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\Pr_{a_i \in_r S} [p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0] \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$ .

Proof. Induction on  $n$ .  $n=1$ : # roots  $\leq$  deg for univariate polynomials.

Assume  $\leq n-1$  cases. For  $n$ : Rewrite  $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^d x_1^i p_i(x_2, \dots, x_n)$ .

Take the largest  $i$  st.  $p_i \neq 0$ . (Such  $i$  exists, o.w.  $p=0$ .)

Since  $\deg(p) = d$ , we know  $\deg(p_i) = d-i$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr_{a_i \in_r S} [p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0] &= \Pr [p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, p_i(a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0] \\ &\quad + \Pr [p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0, p_i(a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq 0] \\ &\leq \underbrace{\Pr [p_i(a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0]}_{\leq \frac{d-i}{|S|} \text{ by induction}} + \underbrace{\Pr [p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \mid p_i(a_2, \dots, a_n) \neq 0]}_{\leq \frac{i}{|S|} \text{ by induction (} p(x_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \text{ has deg } i)} \\ &= \frac{d}{|S|}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

• Application to perfect matching detection.

Consider a bipartite graph  $G = (L, R, E)$ . A perfect matching is a collection of  $n$  edges st. each vertex in  $L$  or  $R$  occurs exactly once.

Associate a variable  $x_{ij}$  with each edge  $(i, j) \in E$ . Define the matrix  $A$  by  $A_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij} & (i, j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$ . Consider  $\det(A)$  as a polynomial of  $x_{ij}$ 's.

Thm,  $\det(A) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow G$  has a perfect matching.

Pf. Recall  $\det(A) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) A_{1, \pi(1)} A_{2, \pi(2)} \dots A_{n, \pi(n)}$ , and observe that

there is no cancellation of summands (since  $\pi$  is a permutation).  $\square$

So to detect whether  $G$  has a perfect matching, it suffices to pick a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of size  $|\mathbb{F}| \geq \frac{n}{\epsilon}$ , and to evaluate the polynomial  $\det(A)$  on a random input  $x_{ij} \in_r \mathbb{F}$ , output " $\exists$  perfect matching" iff answer  $\neq 0$ .

This randomized algorithm has one-sided error  $\epsilon$ .

• Random self-reducibility of Permanent.

Recall that the permanent of a matrix  $A$  is defined as

$$\text{perm}(A) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} a_{1\pi(1)} \cdots a_{n\pi(n)}.$$

Consider the following problem. Suppose that we're given an algorithm that can compute the permanent of  $(1 - \frac{1}{3n})$ -fraction of  $n \times n$  matrices over some finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Can we design an algorithm w/ worst-case error prob.  $\leq \frac{1}{3}$ ?

Here is how. Suppose  $A$  is the input matrix. Pick a random  $R \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , and let  $B(x) = A + x \cdot R$ . Then  $B(x)$  is a deg- $n$  polynomial in  $x$ .

Note that for any fixed  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $B(a) = A + a \cdot R$  is a random matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$ , on which the given algorithm computes the permanent correctly w.p.  $1 - \frac{1}{3n}$ .

Let's do this for  $(n+1)$  times, namely pick  $(n+1)$  distinct nonzero numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}$ , and evaluate  $\text{perm}(B(a_i))$  for all  $a_i$ . w.p.  $\geq \frac{2}{3}$ , we get all the answers correctly. — At this point, we can compute the entire polynomial  $B(x)$  since  $n+1$  points uniquely determines a deg- $n$  polynomial.

Finally  $\text{perm}(A) = \text{perm}(B(0))$ . □

• Expanders.

(Bipartite expander). A bipartite graph  $G = (L, R, E)$  is an  $(n, m, d)$ -expander if  $|L| = n$ ,  $|R| = m$ ,  $G$  is  $d$ -left regular, and  $\forall S \subseteq L$ ,

$$|\Gamma(S)| \geq \begin{cases} \frac{5d}{8} |S| & \text{if } |S| \leq \frac{n}{10d} \\ |S| & \text{if } \frac{n}{10d} \leq |S| \leq \frac{n}{2} \end{cases}$$

Fact.  $\forall$  large  $d, n, m > \frac{3n}{4}$ ,  $\exists$   $(n, m, d)$ -expander.

PF. Random  $d$ -left regular graphs suffice whp.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall S \text{ w/ } |S| \leq \frac{n}{10d}, \forall T \text{ w/ } |T| < \frac{5d}{8} |S|, \Pr[\Gamma(S) \subseteq T] &\leq \left(\frac{|T|}{m}\right)^{|S| \cdot d} \leq \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|S|}} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{m}{|T|}} \\ \forall S \text{ w/ } \frac{n}{10d} \leq |S| \leq \frac{n}{2}, \forall T \text{ w/ } |T| < |S|, \Pr[\Gamma(S) \subseteq T] &\leq \left(\frac{|T|}{m}\right)^{|S| \cdot d} \leq \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{n}{|S|}} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{m}{|T|}} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Ex. Finish the bounds in the two inequalities

◦ Group: A set  $S$ , together w/ a binary operation  $\cdot : S \times S \rightarrow S$  satisfying associativity, existence of identity and inverse.

Eg.  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ ,  $(GL_n(\mathbb{R}), \cdot)$ ,  $(S_n, \circ)$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}_n, + \text{ mod } n)$ ,

Ring: A set  $S$  together w/ two binary operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot : S \times S \rightarrow S$ , satisfying  $(S, +)$  is an Abelian group,  $(S, \cdot)$  is a monoid (group except for no inverse requirement) and distributive laws hold.

Eg.  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $(\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, +, \cdot)$  for any ring  $R$ ,  $R[x]$  for any ring  $R$ ,  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +_{\text{mod } n}, \cdot_{\text{mod } n})$   
 $RG$  for any ring  $R$  and group  $G$ ,  $\{a_i g_i + \dots + a_n g_n; a_i \in R, g_i \in G\}$ .

Field: A ring where  $\cdot$  is commutative and multiplicative inverse exists.

Eg.  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p(x)$ ,

Finite field:  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , where  $q = p^r$  for some prime  $p$ .

$$+ : \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\otimes r}$$

$\times$ : extend  $\mathbb{F}_p$  w/ a formal variable  $\alpha$  s.t.  $T(\alpha) = 0$  for an irreducible polynomial  $T$  of degree  $r$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ . i.e.  $\mathbb{F}_{p^r} \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x] / T(x)$